LX-andreas-2000-short.txt Page 1 of 3
Saved: 7/30/17, 3:50:27 PM Printed For: hfk

UNITED STATES V. ANDREAS, 216 F.3D 645 (7TH. CIR. 2000)

EXCERPTS (SHORT)

Updated: August 30 2017

Before KANNE, ROVNER and EVANS, Circuit Judges. Opinion KANNE, Circuit Judge.

For many years, Archer Daniels Midland Co.'s philosophy of customer relations could be summed up by a quote from former ADM President James Randall: "Our competitors are our friends. Our customers are the enemy." This motto animated the company's business dealings and ultimately led to blatant violations of U.S. antitrust law, a guilty plea and a staggering criminal fine against the company. It also led to the criminal charges against three top ADM executives that are the subject of this appeal. The facts involved in this case reflect an inexplicable lack of business ethics and an atmosphere of general lawlessness that infected the very heart of one of America's leading corporate citizens. Top executives at ADM and its Asian co-conspirators throughout the early 1990s spied on each other, fabricated aliases and front organizations to hide their activities, hired prostitutes to gather information from competitors, lied, cheated, embezzled, extorted and obstructed justice. {650}

After a two-month trial, a jury convicted three ADM officials of conspiring to violate § 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, which prohibits any conspiracy or combination to restrain trade. District Judge Blanche M. Manning sentenced defendants Michael D. Andreas and Terrance S. Wilson to twenty-four months in prison. They now appeal several issues related to their convictions and sentences, and the government counter-appeals one issue related to sentencing. We find no error related to the convictions, but agree with the government that the defendants should have received longer sentences for their leadership roles in the conspiracy.

## I. HISTORY

The defendants in this case, Andreas and Wilson, were executives at Archer Daniels Midland Co., the Decatur, Illinois-based agriculture processing company. [...] Michael D. Andreas, commonly called "Mick," was vice chairman of the board of directors and executive vice president of sales and marketing. Wilson was president of the corn processing division and reported directly to Michael Andreas.

## A. THE LYSINE INDUSTRY

Lysine is an amino acid used to stimulate an animal's growth. It is [...] sold to feed manufacturers who add it to animal feed. Feed manufacturers sell the feed to farmers who use it to raise chickens and pigs. [...] {651}

Until 1991, the lysine market had been dominated by a cartel of three companies in Korea and Japan [...] Ajinomoto Co., Inc. of Japan, was the industry leader, accounting for up to half of all world lysine sales. [...] The other two producers of lysine were [...] Sewon Co., Ltd. [...] of South Korea, and Kyowa Hakko, Ltd. of Japan. [...]

Lysine is a highly fungible commodity and sold almost entirely on the basis of price. Pricing depended largely on two variables: the price of organic substitutes, such as soy or fish meal, and the price charged by other lysine producers. Together, the three parent companies produced all of the world's lysine until the 1990s, presenting an obvious opportunity for collusive behavior. Indeed the Asian cartel periodically agreed to fix prices, which at times reached as high as \$3.00 per pound.

LX-andreas-2000-short.txt Page 2 of 3
Saved: 7/30/17, 3:50:27 PM Printed For: hfk

In 1989, ADM announced that it was building what would be the world's largest lysine plant. If goals were met, the Illinois facility could produce two or three times as much lysine as any other plant and could ultimately account for up to half of all the lysine produced globally. [ADM] created chaos in the market, igniting a price war that drove the price of lysine down, eventually to about 70—cents per pound. The Asian companies understandably were greatly concerned by developments in this once profitable field.

## B. START OF THE CONSPIRACY

Against this background, Kyowa Hakko arranged a meeting with Ajinomoto and ADM in June 1992. Mexico City was chosen as the site in part because the participants did not want to meet within the jurisdiction of American antitrust laws. [...] At this meeting, the three companies first discussed price agreements and allocating sales volumes among the market participants. [...] The price agreements came easily [...] [T]he cartel's goal was to raise the price to \$1.05 per pound in North America and Europe by October 1992 and up to \$1.20 per pound by December.

The sales volume allocation, in which the cartel (now including ADM) would decide how much each company would sell, was a matter of strong disagreement. In ADM's view, ADM should have one third of the market, Ajinomoto and its subsidiaries should have one—third and Kyowa and the Koreans should have the remaining third. Ajinomoto—the historical industry leader—disagreed vehemently and thought ADM did not deserve an equal portion of the market and could not produce that much lysine in any case. Wilson also suggested each company pick an auditor to whom sales volumes could be reported so that the cartel could keep track of each other's business. The meeting ended without a sales volume allocation agreement. {652}

Still, the cartel considered a price agreement without allocating sales volume to be an imperfect scheme because each company would have an incentive to cheat on the price to get more sales, so long as its competitors continued to sell at the agreed price. With cheating, the price ultimately would drop, and the agreement would falter. An effort had to be made to get the parties to agree to a volume agreement, and to that end, Whitacre invited Ajinomoto officials to visit ADM's Decatur lysine facility to prove that it could produce the volume ADM claimed. Mimoto, Ikeda and other Ajinomoto officials, including an engineer named Fujiwara, visited the plant in September 1992. [...]

## [...]

Despite the cartel's efforts to raise prices, the price of lysine dropped in 1993. According to executives of the companies who testified at trial, without a sales volume agreement, each company had an incentive to underbid the agreed price, and consequently each company had to match the lower bids or lose sales to its underbidding competitors. [...]

In October 1993, Andreas and Whitacre met with Yamada and Ikeda in Irvine, California. [...] Andreas threatened Yamada that ADM would flood the market unless a sales volume allocation agreement was reached that would allow ADM to sell more than it had the previous year. [...] The cartel, expecting the lysine market to grow in 1994, thought it wise to agree on percentages of the market that each company could have since it was possible that all five producers could sell more than their allotted tonnage. With a total expected market of 245,000 tons for 1994, Ajinomoto was to sell 84,000 tons, ADM would sell 67,000 tons, Kyowa would sell 46,000 tons, Miwon would sell 34,000 tons and Cheil, if it eventually accepted the deal, would get 14,000 tons, according to the deal hammered out by Yamada and Andreas in Irvine. [...]

LX-andreas-2000-short.txt Page 3 of 3 Saved: 7/30/17, 3:50:27 PM Printed For: hfk

In Tokyo, Wilson suggested, and the members agreed, that each producer report their monthly sales figures by telephone to Mimoto throughout the year, and if one producer exceeded its allocation, it would compensate the others by buying enough from the shorted members to even out the allocation. [...] With the agreement on prices and quantities in place, the lysine price remained at the agreed level for January and February 1994.

[...]

The cartel met once more in Hong Kong before the FBI raided the offices of ADM in Decatur and Heartland Lysine in Chicago. These raids ended the cartel.

[...]